



## LOCAL ROADMAP: MARIBOR

D.T3.3.2 - Local Roadmap for implementing smart and integrated UGS governance

Authors: Robert Gostinčar, Plan-Ka (external expert) Borut Jurišić, Amna Potočnik, Sabina Šneider, Nives Roter, Maribor Development Agency

# April, 2019

#### Preparation phase: how do you build a good roadmap?

- We aim to design and establish management concept of GREEN SPACE LAB as up to data mobile unit to plan and manage urban green spaces projects on site.
- Our idea is to improve the quality and scope of the municipality's GIS system as improved tool for planning, managing and presenting urban green spaces.
- At the same time the communal tax participation would be re-evaluated within the existing communal framework. For better and more focused management of urban green spaces.
- MRA, SUP IN KD MOM, GIC MOM, JPGSZ, Medobčinski urad za varstvo okolja in ohranjanje narave,
- Brainstorm, sketch and pile up of features, work on your idea.

#### INTRODUCTION

The role of proposed roadmap is to (re)establish improved conditions for effective and meaningful collaboration between stakeholders, investors and management personnel for open green spaces in their ever-changing reality. Open green spaces as broad meaning of Urban Green Belts are part of urban planning. Urban planning is field and tool to manage spatial elements. Comprehensive planning face obstacles such as interdisciplinary complexity and lack of full implementation. There is constant intervention to re-define urban planning. Due to analysis of city Maribor urban green areas are facing dilemmas of financing, managing and protecting. We do share some common global problems of open green spaces and we have some specific characteristics within this spatial category. The city is still on the process of transformation from one political, economic and management system to another. It seems that public standard faces most dramatic changes on this road. Not only are there means of new public funding and management, the city's management body/service does not comprehend completely the new reality of the realm of public spaces nor it is aware of its operational tasks regarding the operation of public space within the new social context and the environment. Within our proposed roadmap UGB we are very aware of described limitations and yet we are trying to seek various opens tools and projects towards better goaloriented approach.

All three main goals of proposed roadmap work in unison with synergy effects regarding quality of open green spaces as an interconnected system and as a specific spatial entity. Renewed communal contribution tax is a tool that could be achieved through legislation steps. Proposed action can be measured within a year period but its results will be evident and relevant after two-year period. Proposed GIS adaptation is a necessary tool for the open green space and estimation adaptation time is approximately one year from accepted agenda. Green Space Lab is a project that can be achieved within six months as a successful infrastructure model. Its operation and functionality is planned to be in place for a mid to a long term benefit.

One of the key characteristics of the proposed goal is that it can be helpful in the establishment of an array of achievable interventions within municipality and FUA system, since the proposed solutions do not need any new political agenda, nor large financial resources or major hardware investments.

#### **BASELINE SITUATION**

The city of Maribor faces an array of management and development challenges regarding urban green areas. On one side there is quite a generous and rich state of physical (green areas within urban structure and on the outskirts of the city) and law guidelines and yet there is still a great demand (urban guidelines and public interest) for additional urban green areas.

UGB deals with dilemma how to establish new urban green spaces and manage and protect existing ones. To reach the goal we have to find solution or avoid systematic obstacle as lack of systemic and targeted financial resources. The scale of the city and its quite non-urbanized surroundings (the sprawl) results in lack of green urban areas is not emphasized enough as it should be due to measurement indicators and standards. This balancing factor cannot solve the surge of aging population and other underserved social groups. Social structure has trend towards fast growing ageing population and all the accompany problems that arise with that phenomenon.

Besides current local and global problems related to existing urban green areas Maribor carries burden of large deficit in park areas in the city. This fact results not only in the lack of green urban areas as living standard, but also accessibility of urban green spaces and their benefit on urban microclimate changes. Decades of the lack of even the simplest regulated planning activities, in addition to establishment and management of green urban areas is evident in non-existent political will or/and insufficient knowledge compared with local and global trends. Those examples are clearly present not only on how to get funds for public space but also on field of how to answer demands for climatic changes, how to cope with new mobile modes possibility and traffic dogmas, how to deal with invasive plants, how to incorporate new programs on public space, how park forms have changed together with social transformation, how people restructured their leisure time and their expectations on public space. All these aspects were neglected in couple of past decades and besides catching up on quantative field of urban green areas (sq. m park area per citizen) the city of Maribor have to step over the gap in development providing qualities of green urban areas.

#### VISION AND OBJECTIVES

The city (municipality) of Maribor is trying to introduce enough urban green areas within the southern part of the city (which is at the time short of about 50 ha of green space) as well as on the northern part (shortage of about 20 ha of green space). Besides new green, recreational areas the city is in desperate need of better green connections (tree-lined streets, avenues and linear parks), small park areas (pocket parks, plazas, green roofs...) and single tree management (based on improved GIS support). All these goals may be implemented only through the re-defined urban green areas policy and through re-evaluation of financial resources while including and mobilizing existing local initiatives and all their available assets (project Green Initiatives).

VISION:

OBJECTIVES

- »KPD« FORMER JAIL BACKYARD RE-ARRANGMENT
- GREEN SPACE LAB
- IMPROVING GIS MUNICIPALITY SYSTEM
- RE-THINK MUNICIPALITY COMMUNAL PARTICIPATION TAX

### ACTIONS

Objective Title: »KPD« - FORMER JAIL BACKYARD RE-ARRANGMENT		Objective Number:	
Action Title: Pilot project with complementary pilot		Action Number 1.1.	
management trial period Origin of the action:			
Transfer	New Concept		Other
<ul> <li>doesn't have full connecting</li> <li>more objectives and wide</li> <li>with new tools for the cit</li> <li>The value of action is com</li> <li>Solutions and technologie</li> </ul>	ons with nearby residents bu spread marketing actions. It management. bination of public involveme s for this action are already a re and hardware and pubic p	t due to high visiting also brings new per nt with new approa wailable or on the m	ic urban spot (central shopping mall). If g frequency area it carries potential for spective to revitalize degradation areas ch to public green space values. narket. Professional staff, public desk, lations tools are packed into new
<ul> <li>Establish new infrastructuplans.</li> <li>Pool survey tools and pre</li> <li>Extension modules (public</li> </ul>		and make pools on p actions (municipality	
<ul> <li>Must have: Public desk ob</li> <li>Should have: Presentation</li> <li>Could have: Open cafe &amp;</li> </ul>		frastructure.	
Responsibility – MRA, Planning	office and MOM KD MOM &	MUVOON DAM, DI	KAS, ZAPS
Subcontracting and infrastruct Staff (manager), Equipment: 1 Software: - Hardware: - Other costs (transportation, m	0.000,00 €		
2019: establishing basic infrast 2020: finishing the project 2020-2021: pilot project 2022-nnnn: full operation.	ructure and groundworks, ba	asic team and found	S.
projects approaches and proje New projects (financial aspect) Public space improvements indicators to FUA (urban green	cts. : Giving initials and ideas on (spatial and environmental areas quantity, maintained al and public feedback): Scop	new projects. aspect): Transferr costs, benefits).	and stakeholders for new public green ring some spatial and environmenta essional opinions to map interest in FUA
Municipality Maribor. City/region vision and beyond Show how the action relates t other stakeholders or wider te		or territory and show	w additional potential of the action fo

Objective Title: GREEN SPACE LAB		Objective Number	
Action Title: Improve planning and management tools		Action Number 2.1.	
with <i>in site</i> , flexible and adaptive infras	tructure		±.
Origin of the action: Transfer	Now Concept		
	New Concept		Other
<ul> <li>underpowered and under sourced shared technology &amp; knowledge and in terms of finances, time and mar</li> <li>The value of action is to close the g municipalities with alternative mol</li> <li>Solutions and technologies for this</li> </ul>	roblem of urban gre municipalities while nd partly shared stuf nagement. gap in professional st bile spatial office. action are already a S software and hardw	en areas planning an FUA doesn't work w f can focus on projec taff, financial sources wailable or on the ma ware and pubic prese	d management. It provides by-pass for with full capabilities in Slovenia. This but aim with more economical approach s and political procedural limitations in
residence and follow target spatia	l plans. Responsible A plans, projects and on panels. Marketing room, workshops, m	manager to arrange d programs. Financia g actions (full FUA) hinimum lodging capa	
<ul> <li>Should have: Presentation and sur</li> <li>Could have: Extension possibilities</li> </ul>	vey tools.		
Responsibility –Planning office and MO DAM, DKAS, ZAPS, arborist experts, List also the stakeholders in the action a		DON	
Staff (manager), Equipment: 30.000,00 Subcontracting and infrastructure: 90.0 Software: 20.000,00 € Hardware: 10.000 € Other costs (transportation, maintenan	00,00€	€	
Through pilot period we want to achiev team and infrastructure that can partic New projects (financial aspect): Measur Public space improvements (spatial and (urban green areas quantity, maintaine Public pool survey (professional and pu Professional evaluation: Expertise and s	ipate on variety of lo ring number and qua d environmental asp d costs, benefits) blic feedback): Meas	cations, project and lity of processed pro ect): Measuring some suring public and pro	challenges. jects. e spatial and environmental indicators
2019: establishing infrastructure, basic 2020: pilot project 2021-nnnn: full operation.	team and founds.		
Municipality Maribor. City/region vision and beyond Show how the action relates to a great other stakeholders or wider territory (F		or territory and show	v additional potential of the action for

Objective Title: IMPROVED GIS SYSTEM		Objective Number:		
Action Title: Improve GIS support in management, design		Action Number 3.1.		
and planning processes		Action Number 3.1	•	
Origin of the action: Transfer	New Concept			
			Other	
and data necessary for spatial design	ners and planners in th will increase quality, i purposes. The value ca	e area and better serv range and accessibilit in be measured indire	ty of environmental data and its analytic ctly on more so	
Minimum viable action				
<ul> <li>Setting short workshop to determine DKAS and ZAPS. Determining the sca MOM, SUP MOM &amp; JPGSZ.</li> <li>Presenting results to municipality m</li> </ul>	ale, scope and GIS segr anagement and check	nents that have to be the financial aspects.	nning and designing process with DAM, improved or established together with GIC mprove web service to present public and	
÷	vernment and financia and more transparent sustainable actions in	l departments of mur t and clearer web pre municipality structure	nicipalities about financial and operational esentation. Seeking other financial sources	
Responsibility – GIC MOM and Planning of	office MOM			
DAM, DKAS, ZAPS arborist experts. Environmental associations with status o	f public interest.			
Staff, Equipment: 30.000,00 € Trainin departments on new tools. Subcontracting: 50.000,00 € Web site and Software: 70.000,00 € Hardware: 40.000 € Political procedure: - Other costs: 10.000,00 € Workshop, pool	d applications renewal		/SUP and municipality spatial planning	
Measuring success <ul> <li>Web site visit counter.</li> <li>Transfer data counter.</li> <li>Project efficiency.</li> <li>Pool survey.</li> </ul>				
2019: Political agenda, workshops, prepa 2020-2021: Installation, training, implem			оор.	
Data and tools should be accessible also City/region vision and beyond Show how the action relates to a great stakeholders or wider territory (FUA).			y. Iditional potential of the action for other	

Objective Title: RE-THINK AND RE-ARRAN		Objective Number:	
MUNICIPALITY COMMUNAL PARTICIPATION TAX		Action Number 4.1.	
Action Title: Modernize municipality communal			
participation tax and react to communal environmental standards	and		
Origin of the action:			
Transfer	New Concept		
mansier	New concept		Other
, , ,	sing pilot project, ob as full development. ill be directly measur ic standard.	stacles when process ed in municipality bu	
participation tax.	MOM and MUVOC	ON to specify green	
Staff, Equipment: - Subcontracting: Project study 5-10.000,0 Political agreement: - Other costs: 1.000,00 €	0€		
<ul> <li>Measuring success</li> <li>Municipality budget analysis.</li> <li>Investor's feedback.</li> <li>Citizens pool survey.</li> <li>Infrastructure, spatial and environmental</li> <li>Define what you want to achieve, and</li> </ul>		it. Be as specific as p	ossible.
Timeline - Start and end dates 2019: Research on communal structure indicators). Rerun political procedure to 2020: New tax implementation 2021-2022: Evaluate new tax mechanism	o implement new loc	al act for communal	
City/region vision and beyond Action is primarily planned for the city of other parties within FUA and adjust it to			od practice to transfer this model to

#### SUMMARY

Write a conclusion of your Roadmap referring to the goals set in the Introduction chapter.

Add a summary table which includes your vision, objectives and actions with responsible, budget and timeline.

Objective	Action title	Responsible	Budget	Timeline
Objective 1	Action 1.1.	MOM SUP, MOM MUVOON, FUA assembly	500.000,00 € (Public & private partnership, FUA supported grants)	2019: basic infrastructure 2020: infrastructure 2020-2021: pilot project 2022-nnnn: full operation.
Objective 2	Action 2.1.	MOM SUP, MOM MUVOON, FUA assembly	160.000,00 € (FUA fund through variety of projects (LAAS, Ministries, TUS)	2019: infrastructure 2020: pilot 2021: operating
Objective 3	Action 3.1.	MOM SUP, MOM GIC, MUVOON,	200.000,00 € (EU digitalization investment funds)	2019-2021
Objective 4	Action 4.1.	MOM JPGSZ, MOM SUP, MUVOON,	10.000,00 (Municipality of Maribor)	2019: Research 2020: New tax 2021-2022: Evaluation.