

InnoPeer AVM

Interreg CENTRAL EUROPE

Project index number: CE1119

Project Acronym: InnoPeer AVM

Project Title: PEER-to-peer network of INNOVation agencies and business schools developing a novel transnational qualification programme on AdVanced Manufacturing for the needs of Central European SME

InnoPeer AVM Board activity

Activity A.T1.3. Establishment and coordination of the InnoPeer AVM Board

Type of activity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. AVM Board regular meeting <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Bilateral/Trilateral/... consultation between PPs and Board members <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Interaction between Board members and stakeholders/policy makers at EU/transnational level	
Date of event (DD/MM/YY)	20.09.2019	
Method of interaction	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telco <input type="checkbox"/> Face-to-face meeting (where)	
Partners and InnoPeer AVM Board members involved	PPs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BIZ-UP (AT) E. Breuer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IAA (AT) N.Gusenleitner, J.Kuplinger, S. Siedl <input type="checkbox"/> DEMO (IT) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNIPD (IT) L.Agostini <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VI (IT) I.Boesso <input type="checkbox"/> PBN (HU) <input type="checkbox"/> UNISO (HU) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CMAB (DE) A.Liebl <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FHG IGCV (DE) P.Zimmermann, M.Zäpfel <input type="checkbox"/> UniBwM (DE) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WRUT (PL) E. Mazgajczik	Board members <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Matthias Fink (AT)* <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paul Rübiger (AT)* <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Enrico Bressan (IT) <input type="checkbox"/> Tommaso Fabbri (IT) <input type="checkbox"/> Kornél Németh (HU) <input type="checkbox"/> Johann Hofmann (DE) * <input type="checkbox"/> Josef Wolf (DE) <input type="checkbox"/> Bogdan Dybala (PL) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wolfgang Güttel (AT) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rafal Trzaska (PL) * gave feedback after the TelCo

	<p>Other participants <u>Brigitte Hatvan, ConPlusUltra – sub-contractor of Biz-Up</u> _____ _____</p>
<p>Content of the activity</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Provide/rise feedbacks and inputs on Regional Action Plan <input type="checkbox"/> Provide/rise feedbacks and inputs on Strategic roadmaps <input type="checkbox"/> Provide/rise feedbacks and inputs on training program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provide/rise inputs and suggestions on strategic AVM issues in CE <input type="checkbox"/> Promotion of the CE position of regions involved in InnoPeer on AVM-related issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other external expertise feedbacks/inputs/suggestions. Please specify: <u>Sustainability of the AVM Board</u></p>
<p>Output of the activity</p>	<p>1. Minutes of regular AVM Board regular meetings</p> <p>Eva Breuer from LP Biz-Up welcomed all attendees and in particular the 2 new members of the AVM board: Wolfgang Güttel and Rafal Trzaska.</p> <p>The following topics were discussed within this meeting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sustainability of trainings - VHB • Qualification standard – sustainable use of InnoPeer curriculum • Sustainability of Advisory Board <p>For the first topic of the meeting, LP Biz-Up showed some impressions of the advanced training webinars, that are hosted at the VHB (Virtuelle Hochschule Bayern).</p> <p>Until that day, already more than 100 participants have finished one of the five courses with a VHB certificate.</p> <p>The sustainable use of the developed InnoPeer AVM curriculum was discussed as second point. LP Biz-Up presented 4 different scenarios for that purpose. Additionally, <i>P.Rübig</i> suggested, that the curriculum can also be used for company-internal trainings, especially the advanced trainings can be used to train staff member all over the world.</p> <p>B. Hatvan (subcontractor CPU) presented the Strategy for the sustainable institutionalization of the AVM Advisory Board – in the form of a think tank, which could serve e.g. as advisors for future projects of PPs.</p> <p>The AB members were asked for their comments on that approach:</p> <p><i>W.Güttel:</i> The think tank is a very good idea. He suggests, that in a face to face meeting, the board members set the guidelines for their further cooperation and to closely talk about the expectations of the SME and the InnoPeer AVM (and future projects’) project partners.</p> <p>E. Bressan: The think tank is an interesting proposal. Especially in the current situation with the lock-down of the economy due</p>

	<p>to COVID-19, the SME need support to manage the re-start phase to the best. For this purpose the think tank could be useful.</p> <p>R.Trzaska: It is a good proposal and the just mentioned approach for supporting SME in the re-start phase is a very good opportunity.</p> <p>P.Rübig: It is a very good idea to form such an international think tank. He is definitely ready to contribute to it as well as giving advice to further projects and initiatives.</p> <p>M.Fink: May I please reinforce my statement in favour of a sustained cooperation of the board and the participants in this project in the form of an international think tank. I am convinced that such an institutionalized body can facilitate knowledge exchange and joint action beyond the project duration. Also follow up projects can be enabled, because the think tank proofs a track record of collaboration that is independent from a specific funding. This in turn documents the intrinsic motivation of the members of the think tank.</p> <p>E.Mazgajczik (WRUT) presented briefly the agenda of the final conference and invited all AVM Board members to participate in that online event on 19th May 2020.</p> <p>Finally, LP Biz-Up thanked all participants for their contributions.</p>
	<p>2. Minutes of bilateral/trilateral consultations between the Board and PPs</p> <p>...</p> <p>Please, summarize the most relevant external expertise inputs from committed Board members</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ...
	<p>3. Summary of interaction between Board members and stakeholders/policy makers at EU/transnational level</p> <p>...</p>
	<p>4. Summary of participation in EU conferences</p> <p>...</p>

Notes:

- Please, note the match between the “Type of activity” and the “Output of the activity”