



DELIVERABLE D.T1.2.1

Protocols of Action Planning Workshops held
in Partner Meetings and regional workshops
- Western Transdanubia (Hungary)

Version 1
12 2019



Project information	
Project Index Number:	CE1119
Project Acronym:	InnoPeer AVM
Project Title:	PEER-to-peer network of INNOvation agencies and business schools developing a novel transnational qualification programme on AdVanced Manufacturing for the needs of Central European SME
Website:	http://www.interreg-central.eu/Content.Node/InnoPeerAVM.html
Start Date of the Project:	1 st July 2017
Duration:	36 Months
Document Control page	
Deliverable Title:	D.T1.2.1 Protocols of Action Planning Workshops held in Partner Meetings and regional workshops
Lead Contractor of the Deliverable:	PP4 – University of Padova
Authors:	PP4 – University of Padova; PP6 – PBN (HU), UNISO (HU)



Introduction

This deliverable aims to summarize the focus of regional action planning (RAP)/road-mapping discussion and the significant evidences emerged during workshops with local stakeholders in Western Transdanubia (Hungary). These inputs were used to update the RAP. Documentation of the three regional workshops on RAP is provided below.

1. Regional workshop one

Type of activity	Discussion of Regional Action Planning	
Date of event	In occasion of PP meeting (03/11/2019)	
Method of interaction	Telco	
Partners and stakeholders involved	PPs	Stakeholders
	PBN (HU)	SLR Advantage
Output of the activity	<p><u>Focus of the discussion</u> Based on the discussed peer review feedbacks in 28.10.2019 between the two Hungarian partner in InnoPeer AVM project, the representative of SLR Advantages and PBN also search some inputs to prove the validation of the used numbers and data in the RAP.</p> <p><u>Summary of the most significant issues emerged</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Within the 1st Challenge we have to prove more information to validate our statements. • Within the 2nd challenge we have to examine the present information related to workforce problems. • Also had been discussed what we should have to write in the last chapter. 	



2. Regional workshop two

Type of activity	Discussion of Regional Action Planning	
Date of event	Workshop with local stakeholders (14/11/2019)	
Method of interaction	Face-to-face meeting (Szombathely)	
Partners and stakeholders involved	PPs	Stakeholders
	PBN (HU)	HGC academy
		Professio Cluster
Output of the activity	<p><u>Focus of the discussion</u></p> <p>The two participants of the meeting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the representative of HGC Academy – which main aim is to support the digital development of SMEs in Hungary. - the representative of Profisso Cluster – which main am is to unite the metallurgical industry companies operating in and around Győr and to improve their competitiveness thanks to cooperation, joint representation of interests. They also wish to moderate the shortage of qualified workers experienced in metal industry. <p>These two participants during the stakeholder meeting, summarize their opinion about the Regional Action Plan created in Hungary. And also give some feedback, how to modify it.</p> <p>Also, for the proper description of Action #1 the representative pof HGC Academy describes the mentoring and educating system which had been used during the implementation of HGC Academy. 1</p> <p><u>Summary of the most significant issues emerged</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Related to the vision 1, where we describe the importance of 3D printing and ERP systems → we have to collect more information about the usability of 3D printers and the effectiveness of the usage of them. • For the Action #1 we have to take into consideration the possible options related to production development possibilities, and the needed technical background for this. 	



3. Regional workshop three

Type of activity	Discussion of Regional Action Planning Discussion of Roadmapping	
Date of event	Workshop with local stakeholders (DD/MM/YY)	
Method of interaction	Face-to-face meeting (where)	
Partners and stakeholders involved	PPs	Stakeholders
	UNISO (HU)	<hr/> Prof. Dr. Laszlo Bejo, UniSo <hr/> Prof. Dr. Endre Magoss, Dean, UniSo <hr/> Vera Suri, SRL Advantage Ltd. <hr/> Janos Suri, SRL Advantage Ltd.
Output of the activity	<p><u>Focus of the discussion</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Welcome and introduction • General information about the InnoPeer AVM project (project goals, structure, etc. • Explanation of Regional Action Plan and Strategy Roadmap (v1.0 of 09.2018) • Discussion of RAP and SR by the participants • Forming conclusions <p><u>Summary of most significant issues emerged</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerning lack of capacities: supporting research is missing. Low levels of digitalisation and management problems are related, but not the ground cause. It is not capacities in general, but effective, process-supported capacities that are lacking. • Concerning labour issues: some of the problem statement is more of a solution. Labour vacuum is being alleviated, but there are structural problems. Required skills are changing rapidly. Smart education is required. • Concerning the lack of integration in business processes: process-based thinking is needed but there is a lack of understanding in the SME sector. The IT solution proposed here is unclear. Custom software development is not really a vision, more of a tool. The vision should be improved effectiveness, and innovative production. • Input for roadmap: if a Centre of Excellence is proposed, it should be at the University. Knowledge should be integrated there, and the university could use it to teach and provide service for companies. State should support the creation of centres of excellence that attract companies with similar technologies and challenges. 	